

# Development of temporal and spectral characteristics in the speech of hearing impaired preschoolers

Mark VanDam, Nicholas A. Smith, Dana Ide Helvie, & Mary Pat Moeller

vandamm@boystown.org www.VanDamMark.com

Infant Development Laboratory

Boys Town National Research Hospital

Acoustical Society of America

4aSC1

Miami, FL, 13 November 2008



## Main Point

The development of temporal and spectral speech properties of hearing impaired (HI) children is not fully understood, particularly in children with early- versus late-identified hearing impairment. Results from this longitudinal study (a) document development of vowel production and (b) indicate a speech production advantage for children with early-identified hearing loss. We offer a few possible directions to improve understanding and linguistic outcomes in 4- and 5-year olds with hearing impairment.

## Background

Vowels are central to speech production and speech intelligibility (Peterson & Barney 1952, Fant 1960, Hillenbrand et al 1995, Neel 2008). Sensitivity to the durational and spectral features of vowels has been shown in newborns (Eimas et al 1971, Aldridge et al 2001), and continues to develop until puberty or later (Lee et al 1999). Development of vowel production in children is generally toward shorter durations and less extreme formant values (Lee et al 1999, Vorperian & Kent 2007).

Hearing impaired children's linguistic development is influenced by:

- a) audibility (Stelmachowicz et al 2004; Donahue 2007)
- b) age at which hearing loss (HL) is identified (Moeller 2007)
- c) intervention strategy (Uchanski & Geers 2003)

Developmental studies comparing children with normal (NH) and impaired hearing (HI) show: a) vowel durations in hearing impaired talkers are longer (Monsen 1974, McCarr & Harris 1983, Osberger 1987, Uchanski & Geers 2003), b) vowel formants in hearing impaired talkers are more extreme (McCarr & Harris 1983, Uchanski & Geers 2003, Pratt & Tye-Murray 2008).

## Public Policy

About 3 per 1000 children born in the US have hearing loss. Early detection procedures are inexpensive (~\$50/child), non-invasive, and effectively reliable. Myriad benefits are reported in the literature (Apuzzo & Yoshinaga-Itano 1995, Donahue 2007, Moeller 2007, Durieux-Smith et al 2008).

In July 2008, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Preventive Services Task Force released a B-grade recommendation concerning newborn hearing screening concluding "that the net benefit of screening all newborn infants for hearing loss is moderate" (USPSTF 2008).

Early access to auditory experience may play an important role in language development for infants with hearing loss; empirical study of the benefits will continue to guide public policy.


## Research Questions

1. Do HI children develop vowel space and duration in a manner similar with NH children?
2. Do children with early- versus late-identified hearing impairment differ in the development of their vowel productions?

## Methods

### Materials

<u>bat</u>	house	<u>pat</u>	sick	buy
<u>hoop</u>	six	<u>too</u>	beat	key
<u>spot</u>	buy	<u>bat</u>	kid	stick
bye	kids	<u>see</u>	<u>tap</u>	<u>cap</u>
<u>pat</u>	<u>tea</u>	tie	<u>do</u>	pie
rip	way	<u>hop</u>	write	tight
light	lip	right	<u>mean</u>	lay
<u>moon</u>	my	why	<u>new</u>	might
	white			



$$\text{Vowel Area (kHz}^2\text{)} = 0.5 \times [ (1/3 F2 \times |a|/F1 + |a|/F2 \times |a|/F1 + |a|/F2 \times |u|/F1 + |u|/F2 \times |u|/F1) - (1/3 F1 \times |a|/F2 + |a|/F1 \times |a|/F2) + |a|/F1 \times |u|/F2 + |u|/F1 \times |a|/F2 ]$$

### Task

Listen-and-repeat game of isolated, monosyllabic CSIT target words (Kent et al 1994). Experimenter fed a plastic chip to a purportedly hungry puppet after each repetition.

### Total corpus

- 31 target words (x 1-2 repetitions)
- x 2 ages (48- and 60-months)
- x 19 talkers
- ~2000 total target vowels

### Talkers


- 12 normal hearing children
- 3 late-ID hearing impaired children
- 4 early-ID hearing impaired children

→ 3 of 4 early ID children are cochlear implant (CI) users who received implant at mean age of 20.3 months and used hearing aids before implantation.


→ Children with hearing aids were fitted binaurally with optimal audibility.

	HEARING STATUS		
	early-ID	late-ID	normal
number of children	4	3	12
age ID (mos)	2.5	30.3	n/a
age aided (mos)	4.7	31.5	n/a
PTA (dBHL, left   right)	91.3   83.8	34.8   43.5	n/a


**Measurements:** Segment boundaries were marked using Praat (Boersma & Weenink 2008). Durations and formant values were collected using an automated script customized for children.



**KEY RESULT of vowel duration study:** Developmental trajectory of early-ID hearing impaired children is similar to normal hearing children. Late-ID hearing impaired children do not show the same age-related changes as normal hearing children in either trajectory or absolute levels.



**KEY RESULT of vowel area study:** Development of early-ID hearing impaired children's vowel production area is similar to normal hearing children's development. Late-ID hearing impaired children show a similar degree of relative change, but do not achieve the same absolute levels.



**Vowel area in F1 x F2 space for individual hearing impaired subjects and pooled normal hearing subjects at 48 and 60 months.**

**Statistics:** Lilliefors tests indicate data are not uniformly normally distributed, so non-parametric tests are reported. Two-sided, Wilcoxon signed-rank test statistics were used on duration data; a paired, two-sided sign-test was used for vowel space area statistics. For both, lower P values indicate greater probability of within-subject production differences from 4 to 5 years old.

Group	Vowel duration differences by age	
	$P_{sig}(4yr \leq 5yr)$	$P_{sig}(5yr \leq 4yr)$
<22	<0.003	<0.001
<24	<0.002	<0.001
<32	<0.002	<0.001
<36	<0.010	<0.002
HI, pooled	<0.001	<0.001
<52	0.177	<0.020
<56	<0.006	<0.022
<62	0.363	0.695
HI, pooled	0.157	0.983
NH, pooled	<0.001	<0.001
NH, pooled	<0.047	<0.001

Group	Vowel space area difference by age	
	$P_{sig}(4yr \leq 5yr)$	$P_{sig}(5yr \leq 4yr)$
All Subjects	<0.020	<0.020

Note: early- and late-identified hearing loss subject groups are only 4- and 5-year group, respectively. Because of this small n, statistics by subgroup are not performed.

## Conclusions

1. Vowel duration and articulation space are independently controlled in speech production. Early-identified children performed more like normal hearing children than did their later-identified peers on both measures.
2. Shorter vowel durations and smaller vowel production areas in early-identified HI children and NH children are consistent with previous reports. With respect to vowel area, three of four subjects were cochlear implant users (<math>s22</math> was a hearing aid user), and it is possible that cochlear implant use correlates with improved production space characteristics (i.e., a smaller vowel area). This possibility is supported by the single-subject report of a CI user by Ertmer (2001). Early-ID and cochlear implantation are interacting factors determining auditory experience, though the nature and degree of this interaction is as yet unclear.
3. Early-identified/CI children performed more like normal hearing children than their later-identified peers, despite having greater degrees of hearing loss (early-ID children had profound hearing loss, late-ID children had mild-to-moderate hearing loss). Early-identified/CI children were also provided with earlier intervention—three of four received cochlear implants—which could help explain this effect.
4. Extended vowel duration in certain populations could impact aspects of speech production (e.g., coarticulation and intelligibility). A better understanding of outcomes could lead to improved clinical intervention in late-identified hearing impaired populations, especially with vowels (not typically a focus in clinical speech-language pathology). Future work could profitably contribute to this understudied area.

## References

Boersma, P.A., & Weenink, R.D. (2007). Newborn categorization of vowel-like sounds. *Developmental Science*, 4, 205-212.

Boersma, P.A., & Weenink, R.D. (2008). *Praat*. <http://www.praat.org>

Boersma, P.A., & Weenink, R.D. (2008). *Praat*. <http://www.praat.org>

Donahue, A. (2007). *Coarse Editorial: Computer Science of Knowledge-Outcome Research in Children with MLI to Severe Hearing Loss*. *Ear & Hearing* 28(6) 713-714.

Durieux-Smith, A., Fitzpatrick, E., & Whittington, J. (2008). Universal newborn hearing screening: A question of evidence. *International Journal of Audiology* 47(11) 1-10.

Eimas, P.D., Siqueland, E.R., Jusczyk, P., & Vigorito, J. (1971). Speech perception in infants. *Science* 171: 303-306.

Ertmer, D. (2001). Emergence of a vowel system in a young cochlear implant recipient. *Journal of Speech Language and Hearing Research* 44: 803-813.

Fant, G. (1960). *Acoustic Theory of Speech Production*. Mouton: The Hague.

Hillenbrand, J., Getty, L.A., Clark, M.J., & Wheeler, K. (1980). Acoustic characteristics of American English vowels. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 67: 2093-2111.

Kent, R.D., Moysa, G., & Stoeckel, S. (1984). The intelligibility of children's speech: A review of evaluation procedures. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology* 3: 81-95.

Lee, S., Farnsworth, A., & Maruyama, S. (1999). Acoustics of children's speech: Developmental changes of temporal and spectral parameters. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 105: 1465-1468.

Moeller, M.P., & Harris, K.S. (1983). Articulatory control in a deaf speaker. In Hoobler, J., Levitt, H., & Osberger, M.J. (eds) *Speech of the Hearing Impaired*. Baltimore: University Park Press, pp 73-90.

Moeller, M.P. (2007). Current state of knowledge: psychosocial development in children with hearing impairment. *Ear & Hearing* 28(1) 79-92.

Monsen, R.S. (1974). Qualitative aspects of vowel production in the speech of deaf children. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research* 17: 386-388.

Osberger, M.J. (1987). Training effects on vowel production by profoundly hearing-impaired speakers. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research* 30: 241-251.

Pratt, S.L., & Tye-Murray, H. (1992). "Control methods used in a study of the vowels". *The Acoustical Society of America* 91: 173-184.

Pratt, S.L., & Tye-Murray, H. (2006). Speech impairment secondary to hearing loss. In M. Moeller (ed.), *The Acoustical Society of America's Symposium on Speech Disorders*, Second Edition, NY: NY: Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc. pp 204-235.

Neel, A.T. (2008). Vowel space characteristics and vowel identification accuracy. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research* 51: 974-985.

Salmanshue, E.G., Fitzsim, A.L., Hoover, M.S., Lewis, D.E., & Moeller, M.P. (2004). The importance of high-frequency audibility in the speech and language development of children with hearing loss. *Archives of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery* 130: 586-592.

Uchanski, M., & Geers, A. E. (2003). Acoustic Characteristics of the Speech of Young Cochlear Implant Users: A Comparison with Normal Hearing Age-Peers. *Ear and Hearing* 24(1) 858-869.

US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). (2008). Universal screening for hearing loss in newborns. US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Pediatrics* 121(1) 14-18.

Vorperian, H. K., & Kent, R. D. (2007). Vowel acoustic space development in children: a synthesis of acoustic and anatomic data. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research* 50: 1510-1545.